

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF THE CITY OF GROSSE POINTE WOODS HELD ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2003, IN THE COUNCIL-COURT ROOM OF THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING, 20025 MACK PLAZA, GROSSE POINTE WOODS, MICHIGAN.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Novitke.

Roll Call: Mayor Novitke
Council members: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Steiner
Absent: None

Also Present: City Administrator Bidigare
City Attorney Don Berschback
City Attorney Charles Berschback
City Clerk Warnke
Building Inspector Tutag
Deputy City Clerk Hathaway

The following Commission members were in attendance:

Grant Gilezan, Planning Commission
Mary Mitts, Planning Commission
Joe Sucher, Planning Commission

Motion by Steiner, seconded by Dansbury, that all items on tonight's agenda be received, placed on file, and taken in order of appearance.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

Motion by Dickinson, seconded by Dansbury, that the City Council concur with the recommendation of the Public Relations Committee and voice NO OBJECTION to the adoption and presentation of a **resolution** recognizing Council Member Eric J. Steiner for his service to the City of Grosse Pointe Woods, and to present Council Member Steiner with Pewabic commemorative vase #7.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke
No: None
Absent: None
Abstain: Steiner

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Granger, that the City Council concur with the Pension Board recommendation in their meeting of October 23, 2003, and APPROVE the following Pension **Invoice** for payment:

- INVESCO National Asset Management dated 10/13/03 - \$31,902.97
For the quarter ending September 30, 2003

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

THE MEETING WAS THEREUPON OPENED AT 7:40 P.M. FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO HEAR THE APPLICATION OF **ROOM FOR DESSERT, 20445 MACK**, GROSSE POINTE WOODS, WHICH IS REQUESTING A VARIANCE TO CHAPTER 62 OF THE CITY CODE, *SIGNS*.

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Steiner, that for purposes of the public hearing the following items be received and placed on file:

1. Letter – Fred Schwartz – 10/20/03
2. Application for Sign – 10/20/03
3. Photo – 10/20/03
4. Diagram – 10/20/03
5. Memo – Building Inspector – 10/27/03
6. Aerial View – 10/22/03
7. Notice of Hearing – 10/22/03
8. Affidavit of Property Owners Notified – 10/23/03

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak in favor of the proposed request. The following individual was heard:

Fred Schwartz, Owner
Room for Dessert
20445 Mack Ave.

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak in opposition to the proposed request. No one wished to be heard.

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Chylinski, that the public hearing BE CLOSED at 7:45 p.m. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion by Chylinski, supported by Dansbury, regarding Public Hearing - Room for Dessert, 20445 Mack, which is requesting a sandwich board sign variance, that the City Council grant a variance to utilize a sandwich board sign because it does meet the spirit and intent of Chapter 62-33, contingent upon the following, that the Sign:

1. Sign itself be no greater than 42" w x 48" high, sandwich board overall height to be no higher than 65";
2. Be placed on the Petitioner's property;
3. Be stable;
4. Be displayed during open hours of business;
5. Materials be wood or metal;
6. Colors be within the city color chart
7. Sign be maintained.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

Hearing no objections, the Chair directed Chapter 62 – *Signs* of the City Code of the City of Grosse Pointe Woods be referred to the Planning Commission for recommended amendments relating to Sandwich Board Signs.

THE MEETING WAS THEREUPON OPENED AT 7:56 P.M. FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO HEAR THE APPLICATION OF **BARBARA BRUNDLE, 1449 TORREY**, GROSSE POINTE WOODS, FOR PERMISSION TO ERECT A 6' **PRIVACY FENCE** ALONG THE SOUTH, EAST AND WEST PROPERTY LINES OF THE PROPERTY AT 1449 TORREY.

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Granger, that for purposes of the public hearing the following items be received and placed on file:

1. Letter – Barbara Brundle – 10/21/03
2. Application for Fence Permit – 10/20/03
3. Photos – 10/20/03
4. Mortgage Survey – 10/20/03
5. Letter – Chester Jewiarz – 10/24/03

6. Photo – Chester Jewiarz – 10/24/03
7. Memo – Building Inspector – 10/28/03
8. Photos – Code Enforcement - 10/28/03
9. Aerial View – 10/23/03
10. Notice of Hearing – 10/23/03
11. Affidavit of Property Owners Notified – 10/23/03

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak IN FAVOR of the proposed request. The following individuals were heard:

Barbara Brundle
1449 Torrey

Mary Beth Christensen
1437 Torrey

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak IN OPPOSITION to the proposed request. The following individual was heard:

John Van Alst
19871 Holiday Rd.

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Dickinson, that the public hearing BE CLOSED at 8:02 p.m. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion by Chylinski seconded by Dickinson, regarding the public hearing: FENCE VARIANCE – Barbara Brundle, 1449 Torrey, that the City Council DENY the request to install a 6’ shadowbox fence on the finding of fact that the request was based on special circumstances that lacked demonstrative evidence to warrant the proposed fence.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

THE MEETING WAS THEREUPON OPENED AT 8:12 P.M. FOR A PUBLIC HEARING TO HEAR THE APPLICATION OF **MARY CHRISTENSEN, 1437 TORREY**, GROSSE POINTE WOODS, FOR PERMISSION TO ERECT A 6' **PRIVACY FENCE** ALONG THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE OF THE PROPERTY AT 1437 TORREY.

Motion by Steiner, seconded by Dansbury, that for purposes of the public hearing the following items be received and placed on file:

1. Letter – Mary Christensen – 10/24/03
2. Application for Fence Permit – 10/23/03
3. Photos – 10/23/03
4. Drawing – 10/23/03
5. Mortgage Survey – 10/23/03
6. Memo – Director of Public Safety – 10/27/03
7. Memo – Building Inspector – 10/28/03
8. Photos – Code Enforcement - 10/28/03
9. Aerial View – 10/24/03
10. Notice of Hearing – 10/24/03
11. Affidavit of Property Owners Notified – 10/27/03

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak IN FAVOR of the proposed request. The following individual was heard:

Mary Beth Christensen
1437 Torrey Rd.

The Chair asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak IN OPPOSITION to the proposed request. The following individual was heard:

John Van Alst
19871 Holiday Rd.

Motion by Dansbury, seconded by Granger, that the public hearing BE CLOSED at 8:20 p.m. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion by Chylinski, seconded by Steiner, regarding the public hearing - FENCE VARIANCE – Mary Beth Christensen, 1437 Torrey Rd., that the City Council GRANT the request to install a 6' privacy fence as described in the Application for Fence Permit dated October 23, 2003, contingent upon the following:

1. Removal of existing fence;
2. Supporting framework be installed facing Petitioner's property;
3. Construction commence within six months, complete within one year.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION by Dickinson, seconded by Dansbury, regarding the public hearing: FENCE VARIANCE – Mary Beth Christensen, 1437 Torrey Rd., that the City Council approve only the 6' fence along Holiday to link up with the garage and that both ends be constructed with angles to be approved by the Public Safety Department for pedestrian safety purposes contingent upon:

1. Removal of existing fencing;
2. Supporting framework be installed facing Petitioner's property;
3. Begin construction within six months, complete within one year.

And that the above approval not include the fence along the rear of the property at 1437 Torrey.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

ORIGINAL MOTION failed by the following roll call vote:

Dickinson: No
Fahrner: No
Granger: No
Steiner: Yes
Novitke: No
Chylinski: Yes
Dansbury: Yes

The Chair declared a recess at 8:40 p.m. and reconvened at 8:51 p.m.

THE MEETING WAS THEREUPON OPENED AT 8:52 P.M. FOR A BOARD OF APPEAL HEARING PURSUANT TO AN *ORDER OF REMAND* ISSUED BY WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE, THE HONORABLE DAPHNE MEANS CURTIS, WITH REGARD TO **SUNRISE DEVELOPMENT, INC.**, WHICH ORDER OF REMAND STATES THE ZONING VARIANCE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANTS BE REMANDED TO THE CITY OF GROSSE POINTE WOODS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS TO MAKE SPECIFIC FINDINGS OF FACT.

Motion by Steiner, seconded by Granger, that, for purposes of the Board of Appeal hearing, the following items be received and placed on file:

1. Notice of Hearing – City Attorney – 10/08/03
2. Proof of Service – City Attorney – 10/08/03
3. Opinion & Order – Judge Daphne Means – 10/6/03
4. Aerial View – 10/13/03
5. Notice of Hearing – 10/13/03
6. Affidavit of Legal Publication – 10/16/03
7. Affidavit of Property Owners Notified – 10/17/03
8. Affidavit of Business Owners Notified – 10/17/03
9. Letter / Proposed Resolution – City Attorney – 10/29/03
10. Appendix of Record – City Attorney – 10/29/03

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

City Attorney Charles Berschback provided an overview and read into the record from the Opinion and Order dated October 6, 2003 – Hon. Daphne Means Curtis:

“ This matter is remanded to the ZBA with the instruction that it make specific findings of fact underlying its determination that granting the variance was justified. No new evidence or exhibits may be introduced; however, counsel for the parties may make oral arguments in support of their respective positions. This Court retains jurisdiction.”

The following individuals were heard:

Sue Radulovich
Plaintiffs/Appellants Attorney

P. Daniel Christ, Sunrise Development, Inc (intervenors)
Defendants/Appellees

Motion by Granger, seconded by Dickinson, that Item No. 9A(9) be removed from tonight's agenda.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner

No: None

Absent: None

Motion by Dickinson, seconded by Steiner, regarding Board of Appeal – Sunrise Development, Inc., that the City Council adopt the following Resolution:

WHEREAS, In the fall of 2002, Sunrise Development, Inc. a/k/a Sunrise Assisted Living ("Sunrise") requested three variances from the City of Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals to allow for the establishment of an assisted living facility at the northeast corner of Mack and Brys (see Exhibit 1 of Appendix of Record, August 22, 2002 letter from Sunrise to Grosse Pointe Woods Clerk); and

WHEREAS, On October 7, 2002, the City of Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals considered three variance requests from Sunrise. These included: (1) a "use" variance from Section 98-202; Permitted Uses because the proposed use of the property as an assisted living facility is not defined in the ordinance and had, accordingly, been determined by staff not to be a permitted use in the C - Commercial Business District; (2) Section 98-17 - Off Street Parking Requirements requesting a "dimensional" variance of 56 off-street parking spaces from what is required under Section 98-17; and (3) a "dimensional" variance from Section 98-209 allowing three stories instead of the two stories permitted by the zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, At the October 7, 2002 meeting, after a public hearing and presentation by Sunrise and comments from the public, the City of Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals (having considered the Building Inspector's October 2, 2002 memorandum indicating assisted living was not permitted anywhere in the City and the exclusion violated Section 12 of Act 207 of 1921, being MCL 125.592), noted there was a demonstrated need (see Exhibit 2 of Appendix of Record, October 2, 2002 Memorandum of Building Inspector), spent 40-45 minutes discussing different aspects of the Sunrise proposal and discussed the benefits of Sunrise's proposed

project and the hardship of Sunrise (the verbatim transcript of the October 7, 2002 meeting indicates that the tape recording malfunctioned and the 40-45 minutes of discussion by the Board of Appeals was not retained. (See Exhibit 3 of Appendix of Record, October 7, 2002 Transcript, pg. 40); and

WHEREAS, The Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals, at the October 7, 2002 meeting, expressing concerns over the sought-after parking and stories/height variances, remanded the matter back to the Planning Commission for its review and recommendations (see Exhibit 3 of Appendix of Record, October 7, 2002 Transcript pgs. 48-49); and

WHEREAS, At the November 26, 2002 Planning Commission, Sunrise presented a revised site plan which eliminated the need for any variances except the use variance regarding a permitted use of an assisted living facility in the C zoned district (see Exhibit 4 of Appendix of Record, November 20, 2002 letter of Sunrise Representative Laura Hester and November 20, 2002 letter of Beier Howlett, P.C.) and;

WHEREAS, After considering the revised site plan submitted by Sunrise, the Planning Commission approved the site plan contingent upon certain requirements including that the Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals grant a variance to permit the use of the property as an assisted living facility (see Exhibit 5 of Appendix of Record, November 26, 2002 Planning Commission Minutes); and

WHEREAS, On December 2, 2002, the Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals considered Sunrise's application for use variance, comments from the public, comments from its Building Department and documents submitted indicating conditional site plan approval from Plan Commission and granted the use variance of City Code Section 98-202 permitting Sunrise to use the property on the northeast corner of Mack and Brys as an assisted living facility in accordance with the approved site plan (see Exhibit 6 of Appendix of Record, December 2, 2002 Minutes); and

WHEREAS, On December 23, 2002, Thomas P. Sullivan, and others, filed a lawsuit in the Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 02-244457-AA, appealing the Board of Appeals grant of the use variance to Sunrise; and

WHEREAS, On October 6, 2003, the Circuit Court remanded the matter to the Grosse Pointe Woods' Board of Appeals to make specific findings of fact underlining its determination that granting the variance was justified

(see Exhibit 7 of Appendix of Record, October 6, 2003 Opinion and Order of Wayne County Circuit Court Judge Daphne Means Curtis);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT IS RESOLVED the City of Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals makes the following findings of fact, in compliance with the Court's October 6, 2003 Opinion and Order:

Finding No. 1: Denial of the requested use variance would result in an unnecessary hardship. This conclusion is supported by the following facts:

1. Grosse Pointe Woods Zoning Ordinance does not identify any zoned district which would allow an assisted living facility for the elderly.
 - Mr. Tutag's 11-22-02 Memo finds that "the term 'assisted living facility' is not found anywhere in the City's Zoning Ordinance. The proposed use also does not fit into any of the City's existing zoning categories."
 - We find that neither the Zoning Ordinances nor the zoning map would allow an assisted living facility absent this variance. See Exhibit 8 of Appendix of Record, City Zoning Ordinance Map.
2. Literal enforcement of the Grosse Pointe Woods Zoning Ordinance would not allow senior or assisted living facilities in the City which would result in unnecessary hardship to all residents, particularly the current elderly, of the City of Grosse Pointe Woods and, in the face of a clear overwhelming and demonstrated need, would constitute exclusionary zoning under MCL 125.592.
 - Council Member Steiner found a clear need for this type of project based on comments received from various senior citizens over several years. See Exhibit 9, December 2, 2002 Transcript, p. 9.
 - Mayor Novitke found the existence of a demonstrated need and found that the zoning ordinance would prohibit a lawful needed use absent the variance. See Exhibit 9, December 2, 2002 Transcript, p. 9.
3. The absence of the term "assisted living facility" from the City's Zoning Ordinance has the affect of totally prohibiting the establishment of the proposed use anywhere in the City. Granting of the variance, given Sunrise's proposal, is consistent with substantial justice and the Fair Housing Act, Fair Housing Amendment and Americans with Disabilities Act and Michigan Person's with Disabilities Act.

4. The city of Grosse Pointe Woods has an affirmative duty to make reasonable accommodations on behalf of the elderly as it relates to its zoning rules.

Finding No. 2: There is a clear and demonstrated need for this type of facility in the City. This is supported by the following facts:

1. Sunrise has introduced evidence that there is no assisted living facilities in Grosse Pointe Woods or in any of the adjacent communities and that there is a great need for this form of assisted living facility for seniors in Grosse Pointe Woods.
 - This finding is supported in part by the oral presentation of the Petitioner’s agent, Laura Hester, in which she indicated that there are approximately 8,000 seniors in the area that are age and income qualified for this project, there are no assisted living facilities in any of the Grosse Pointes to serve this area, that there are approximately 13,000 caregivers of seniors who may want to care for their parents near their homes. See Exhibit 3 of Appendix of Record, October 7, 2002 Transcript, p. 23.
 - This finding of demonstrated need is also supported by the comments of Mr. Tutag on December 2, 2002 which referenced the land use plan from September 1979 specifically mentioning this proposed site for this type of use. See Exhibit 10 of Appendix of Record, Land Use Plan, pp. 35-36 see also Exhibit 11 of Appendix of Record, November 22, 2002 Memorandum of Gene Tutag.
2. Council members had been informed by seniors regarding the need for senior housing, based upon concerns that their homes were too big and they wished to remain in the community (see Exhibit 9, December 2, 2002 transcript, p. 9).
 - Council Member Steiner found a clear need for this type of project based on comments received from various senior citizens over several years. See Exhibit 9, December 2, 2002 Transcript, p. 9.
 - Mayor Novitke found the existence of a demonstrated need and found that the zoning ordinance would prohibit a lawful needed use absent the variance. See Exhibit 9, December 2, 2002 Transcript, p. 9.

3. Grosse Pointe Woods Building Inspector indicated the City of Grosse Pointe Woods had undertaken a land use study, which recommended that senior housing be considered and incorporated into the Zoning Ordinance to provide more efficient, economical living accommodations for those citizens who do not want to continue maintaining a single-family residence and who want to continue to live in the City.
 - This finding is supported by the comments of Mr. Tutag at the December 2, 2002 ZBA hearing. See Exhibit 9 of Appendix of Record, December 2, 2002 Transcript pp. 4-5. See also Exhibit 10 of Appendix of Record, Land Use Plan, pp. 35-36.

Grosse Pointe Woods Building Inspector indicated the City's study recommended that the property on the east side of Mack between Aline and Brys (Sunrise's proposed location) was identified as a location appropriate for senior housing.

- This finding is supported by the comments of Mr. Tutag at the December 2, 2002 ZBA hearing and the 1979 Land Use Plan. See Exhibit 9 of Appendix of Record, December 2, 2002 Transcript pp. 4-5. See also Exhibit 10 of Appendix of Record, Land Use Plan, pp. 35-36.
5. There is a clear need for assisted living facilities in the City of Grosse Pointe Woods. The Zoning Ordinance does not contemplate any assisted living facility anywhere in the City which is contrary to the spirit and purpose of the Ordinance and contrary to the public health, safety and welfare and to not allow a "reasonable accommodation" is inconsistent with the Federal Fair Housing Act, Fair Housing Amendment Act and American's with Disabilities Act, and Michigan Person's with Disabilities Act.

Finding No. 3: Currently there is no location within the City where the use may be appropriately located or where the use would be lawful. This finding is supported by the following:

1. The Council finds that this is not a project for a "multiple family dwelling". The project cannot be built in R-3 or R-4 districts. R-3 is zoned for multiple family residential developments, and would only allow family residential dwelling units with a minimum square footage of 750 feet for

one bedroom apartments. R-4 provides for up to 12 dwelling units, each with individual kitchen facilities.

2. This is not a multiple family dwelling because our ordinance defines multiple dwellings as "a building used for and as a residence for 3 or more families living independently of each other having their own cooking facilities therein. The proposed project does not involve individual units with cooking facilities.

Finding No. 4: Sunrise did not create this hardship. This finding is supported by the following:

1. Mr. Tutag's memos dated October 3, 2002 and November 22, 2002 indicate that the absence of an appropriate zoning classification for this use is most likely the result of the fact that the concept of assisted living is somewhat contemporary. We find that the use did not exist when the Ordinance was drafted in 1975. We find that in this situation, the current zoning ordinance has the effect of totally prohibiting the establishment of the proposed use anywhere in the City. Exhibit 11.

Finding No. 5: Sunrise's proposal is appropriate for the location in scale for the street and neighborhood, and the assisted living facility is harmonious as a transition use from the commercial district to the adjacent residential district, and the issuance of the variance results in a reasonable accommodation to the elderly Sunrise intends to serve and results in substantial justice to Sunrise, the adjacent property owners and the general public. This finding is supported by the following:

1. Sunrise's site plan, as approved by the Grosse Pointe Woods Planning Commission, has proposed an assisted living facility at the northeast corner of Mack and Brys which is consistent with the size and scale of structures in the surrounding areas, requires no dimensional, height or parking variances, and will be an appropriate transition use from commercial to residential districts, harmonious with the adjacent residential neighborhood.
2. Sunrise presented a traffic study which indicates that the proposed assisted living facility use will not adversely impact rush-hour traffic. See

Exhibit 12, July 22, 2002 parking and trip generation study and summary of truck deliveries at assisting living facilities).

3. Council Member Dickinson indicated that the City is having trouble sustaining small retail shops along Mack Avenue and the property should be developed. Exhibit 3, pp 14-15.
4. Council Member Fahrner indicated that many development proposals since 1977 were either rejected or taken off the table and this development is the best one proposed. Exhibit 3, p 39.
5. The Grosse Pointe Woods Department of Public Safety and Department of Public Works indicated no objections with the revised site plan (see Exhibit 13, November 22, 2002 staff memos).
6. The Board of Appeals recognizes and acknowledges the need in the City of Grosse Pointe Woods for assisted living developments and notes the removal of the requests for other variances concerning height or parking, is beneficial to the project, and makes the grant of the use variance more appropriate for the site.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Grosse Pointe Woods Board of Appeals, based on the above findings of fact, reaffirms the granting of a variance to Sunrise Development, Inc., a/k/a Sunrise Assisted Living ("Sunrise") to use the property at the northeast corner of Mack and Brys as an assisted living facility in accordance with the approved site plan and that the Clerk immediately forward a certified copy of this resolution to the parties to Case No. 02-244457-AA and to the Honorable Daphne Means Curtis, Wayne County Circuit Court.

Motion carried upon the following roll call vote:

Fahrner: Yes
Granger: No
Steiner: Yes
Novitke: Yes
Chylinski: No
Dansbury: Yes
Dickinson: Yes

Motion by Fahrner, seconded by Steiner, that the item regarding Sunrise Development, Inc., be immediately certified.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: Chylinski
Absent: None

The Chair declared a recess at 10:20 p.m., and reconvened at 10:36 p.m.

Motion by Chylinski, seconded by Steiner, regarding **proposed Regional Water Authority**, that the City Council refer this item to the Committee-of-the-Whole.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

Motion by Steiner, seconded by Dickinson, regarding **2003 Holiday Dinner**, that the City Council approve an amount not to exceed \$14,300 for the 2003 Holiday Dinner.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Novitke, Steiner
No: Granger
Absent: None

Hearing no objections, the item regarding **Clinton River Watershed Council** was referred to the Committee-of-the-Whole.

Motion by Dickinson, seconded by Granger, that the City Council voice NO OBJECTION to the issuance of a Mayoral **Proclamation** naming November 2003 as National Family Caregivers Month in the City of Grosse Pointe Woods.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

Motion by Steiner, seconded by Granger, that the following statements from the City Attorneys BE APPROVED for payment:

Statement dated October 29, 2003 - City Attorney Donald Berschback in the amount of \$5,010.00 for services rendered in October 2003;

Statement dated October 29, 2003 – City Attorney Charles Berschback in the amount of \$6,450.00 for services rendered in October 2003.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Yes: Chylinski, Dansbury, Dickinson, Fahrner, Granger, Novitke, Steiner
No: None
Absent: None

Motion by Fahrner, seconded by Steiner, that the meeting adjourn at 10:56 p.m.
PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * *